

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,982	12/22/2003	Joshua M. Kopelman	P23,305-C USA	9053
23307 75	90 02/28/2006		EXAMINER	
SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER, LLP			O'CONNOR, GERALD J	
2600 ARAMAR	RK TOWER		(*************************************	
1101 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 191072950			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/743,982	Kopelman et al.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	O'Connor	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be apply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frough, cause the application to become ABANDON	ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ <i>December 6, 2005</i>					
·— · ·	is action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s)1-16 _ is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s)15 and 16 _ is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject.	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>December 22, 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Solution is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summai	v (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I				

Art Unit: 3627 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group I, claims 1-14, in the reply filed December 6, 2005 is hereby acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 15 and 16 are hereby withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed December 6, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 101:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-14 are drawn to a method of producing a disembodied data structure. It has been held that such claims are considered to comprise non-statutory subject matter, for *merely manipulating an abstract idea* without producing any "useful, concrete, and tangible result." *In re Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d 1354; 31 USPQ2d 1754 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Art Unit: 3627 Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
 - (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
 - (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nahan et al. (US 5,664,111).

Nahan et al. discloses a computer-implemented method for pricing goods of independent sellers using a marketeer controller capable of communicating via a communications network, the marketeer controller including a CPU and a memory operatively connected to the CPU, the method comprising the marketeer controller: receiving from an independent seller, via the communications network, data identifying the independent seller's good; presenting to the independent seller a menu including plurality of selectable options, each of the options corresponding to a respective predetermined method for deriving a sale price for the independent

Art Unit: 3627 Page 4

seller's good; and deriving the sale price for the independent seller's good using the predetermined method corresponding to a seller-selected option.

Regarding claim 2, in the computer-implemented method of Nahan et al. the sale price is determined proximate a time of sale of the good to the buyer.

Regarding claims 3, 7, 11, and 13, in the computer-implemented method of Nahan et al. the predetermined method comprises a discounting a manufacturer's suggested retail price.

Regarding claims 4 and 8, in the computer-implemented method of Nahan et al. the marketeer controller stores a database of suggested retail prices.

Regarding claims 5, 9, 12, and 14, in the computer-implemented method of Nahan et al. the predetermined method comprises discounting a price for a certain good in new condition when the independent seller's good is the certain good in used condition.

Regarding claim 6, in the computer-implemented method of Nahan et al. the seller agrees, before the sale, to sell the good at a sale price determined by the marketeer proximate a time of sale of the good to the buyer, the sale price being determined in accordance with the predetermined method corresponding to the seller-selected option.

Regarding claim 10, in the computer-implemented method of Nahan et al. the sale price is determined proximate to a time the buyer wishes to buy the good.

Art Unit: 3627 Page 5

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the disclosure.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications, should be directed to the examiner, **Jerry O'Connor**, whose telephone number is **(571) 272-6787**, and whose facsimile number is **(571) 273-6787**.

The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Alexander Kalinowski, can be reached at (571) 272-6771.

Official replies to this Office action may be submitted by any *one* of fax, mail, or hand delivery. **Faxed replies are preferred and should be directed to (571) 273-8300**. Mailed replies should be addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450." Hand delivered replies should be delivered to the "Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314."

GJOC

February 20, 2006

Gerald J. O'Connor
Primary Examiner

zpop6

Group Art Unit 3627